

Appln No. 10/810,053

Amdt date March 16, 2005

Reply to Office action of November 30, 2004

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 1 stands rejected under 28 USC 101 for double patenting. Claim 1 has been replaced by new method claims 2-7. Claim 1 of prior patent 6,714,150 defines an analog to digital converter as apparatus in contrast to the new method claims. Thus, the new claims do not present a double patenting situation.


The written description has been amended by adding a paragraph that provides support for the wording of new claims 2-7, without introducing new matter.

In view of the foregoing, consideration of claims 2-7, approval of the added paragraph in the written description, and allowance of this application are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By



LeRoy T. Rahn
Reg. No. 20,356
626/795-9900

LTR/amb